arXiv:1102.1451v3 [math.OA] 16 Dec 2011

THE CONE OF FUNCTIONALS ON THE CUNTZ SEMIGROUP

LEONEL ROBERT

Abstract. The functionals on an ordered semigroup S in the category **Cu**–a category to which the Cuntz semigroup of a C*-algebra naturally belongs–are investigated. After appending a new axiom to the category **Cu**, it is shown that the "realification" $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ of S has the same functionals as S and, moreover, is recovered functorially from the cone of functionals of S. Furthermore, if S has a weak Riesz decomposition property, then $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ has refinement and interpolation properties which imply that the cone of functionals on S is a complete distributive lattice. These results apply to the Cuntz semigroup of a C*-algebra. At the level of C*-algebras, the operation of realification is matched by tensoring with a certain stably projectionless C*-algebra.

1. Introduction

From its introduction in [Cun78], the Cuntz semigroup of a C*-algebra has been understood as a natural carrier of the dimension functions of the C*-algebra: they correspond to functionals on the Cuntz semigroup. In [CEI08], Coward, Elliott and Ivanescu define the category **Cu** and show that the Cuntz semigroup of a C*-algebra is an object in this category. The idea comes to mind to study functionals on ordered semigroups in the axiomatic setting of **Cu** and attempt to recover (and push further!) known results in the C*-algebraic context. Such a study was done partly in [ERS11] and [BRT$^+$] and is continued here.

Our starting point is an ordered semigroup S in the category **Cu**. However, in order to make progress on questions regarding the functionals on S, we need to assume that S also has the almost algebraic order property (see axiom O5 in Subsection 2.1 below). For the Cuntz semigroup of a C*-algebra, this property was proven in [RW10, Lemma 7.2] and it was also used repeatedly in the arguments of [RR11]. The results of this paper stress further its importance (see Remark 2.2.4 below).

Assume that S is in the category **Cu** and has almost algebraic order. Denote by $\mathrm{F}(S)$ the cone of functionals on S (topologized as in [ERS11]). Each $s \in S$ induces a function on $\mathrm{F}(S)$: $\hat{s}(\lambda) := \lambda(s)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathrm{F}(S)$. Two natural questions that can be asked are

(i) what can we say about $s, t \in S$ if $\hat{s} = \hat{t}$?,
(ii) what can we say about the range of the map $s \mapsto \hat{s}$?

The first question is answered in Proposition 2.2.6 below. Regarding the second question, we consider a set larger than the range of the map $s \mapsto \hat{s}$; namely, the closure (under sequential suprema) of the $\mathbb{R}^+$-linear span of the range of $s \mapsto \hat{s}$. This set, denoted by $S_{\mathbb{R}}$, may also be characterized as the "realification" of S and is the main focus of the results of this paper. It will be shown that $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be recovered

---

Key words and phrases. Cuntz semigroup, 2-quasitraces, refinement and interpolation properties, projectionless C*-algebra.

I was supported by the Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF) through the Center for Symmetry and Deformation while conducting this research.

functorially from $\mathrm{F}(S)$ as a suitable dual of $\mathrm{F}(S)$. If we assume further that S has a weak decomposition property (à la Riesz), then $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfies a refinement property which in turn implies that $\mathrm{F}(S)$ is a complete lattice.

Our results are applicable to C*-algebras. At the level of C*-algebras, the operation of "realification" is matched by tensoring with the stably projectionless C*-algebra $\mathcal{R}$ studied in [Jac10] and [Rob11]. That is, $\mathrm{Cu}(A)_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathrm{Cu}(A\otimes\mathcal{R})$, where $\mathrm{Cu}(A)$ denotes the Cuntz semigroup of the C*-algebra A. Since $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{Cu}(A))$ is in bijection with the lower semicontinuous 2-quasitraces on A, it follows that the Cuntz semigroup of an $\mathcal{R}$-absorbing C*-algebra is determined by its cone of lower semicontinuous 2-quasitraces. $\mathrm{Cu}(A)$ has the weak Riesz decomposition property mentioned above. Thus, the lower semicontinuous 2-quasitraces on A form a complete lattice. This extends Blackadar and Handelmann's [BH82, Theorem II.4.4] that the bounded 2-quasitraces of a unital C*-algebra A form a lattice.

In Section 2 we prove some preliminary results on ordered semigroups and we answer question (i) above. In Section 3 we define $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ and show that it is recovered functorially as a dual space of $\mathrm{F}(S)$. In Section 4 we prove refinement and interpolation properties for $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ and derive from these that $\mathrm{F}(S)$ is a complete lattice. The last section contains the results relating to the Cuntz semigroups of C*-algebras. In the last paragraphs we give further evidence of the relevance of the properties of almost algebraic order and weak Riesz decomposition by showing that Glimm's halving property for non-type I simple C*-algebras is recovered, in the context of ordered semigroups, using these properties.

Acknowledgements. This research was conducted while I was a member of the Center for Symmetry and Deformation at the University of Copenhagen. I am grateful to the Center, and in particular to Mikael Rørdam, for their hospitality and support. The case that A is commutative of the isomorphism $\mathrm{Cu}(A)_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathrm{Cu}(A\otimes\mathcal{R})$ can be derived using the methods of [Tik11]. I am grateful to Aaron Tikuisis for pointing this out as evidence of the validity of the general result.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON ORDERED SEMIGROUPS

We call ordered semigroup a monoid endowed with a translation invariant order relation. We always assume that the semigroup is abelian and positive, i.e., 0 is the smallest element of the ordered semigroup. By ordered semigroup map we understand one that preserves the order, the addition operation, and the 0 element.

2.1. **The category Cu.** Given elements in an ordered set s and t, we say that s is sequentially compactly contained in t, and denote it by $s \ll t$, if for any increasing sequence (t_n) such that $t \leqslant \sup_n t_n$ we have $s \leqslant t_{n_0}$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. (We will often drop the reference to sequences is simply say that s is compactly contained in t.)

The objects of the category **Cu**—introduced in [CEI08]–are ordered semigroups satisfying a number of axioms. The ordered semigroup S is an object of **Cu** if

- **O1.** Every increasing sequence has a supremum.
- **O2.** For every $s \in S$ there exists a sequence (s_n) such that $s_n \ll s_{n+1}$ for all n and $s = \sup_n s_n$.
- **O3.** If $s_i \ll t_i$, for $i = 1, 2$, then $s_1 + s_2 \ll t_1 + t_2$.
- **O4.** If (s_n) and (t_n) are increasing sequences then $\sup_n(s_n + t_n) = \sup_n s_n + \sup_n t_n$.

The primary example of an ordered semigroup in the category **Cu** is the Cuntz semigroup of a C*-algebra. That such an object satisfies the axioms O1-O4 is proven in [CEI08, Theorem 1].

We will also consider the property of almost algebraic order:

O5. If $s' \ll s \leqslant t$ then there exists r such that $s' + r \leqslant t \leqslant s + r$.

It is proven in [RW10, Lemma 7.2] that the Cuntz semigroup of a C*-algebra satisfies O5.

A sequence (s_n) such that $s_n \ll s_{n+1}$ for all n is called rapidly increasing. Thus, O2 may be restated as saying that every element is the supremum of a rapidly increasing sequence.

A subset $S' \subseteq S$ is called dense if every element of S is the supremum of a rapidly increasing sequence of elements in S'. If a C*-algebra is separable, then its Cuntz semigroup has a countable dense subset (see Proposition 5.1.1 below).

2.2. **Functionals.** We call an ordered semigroup map $\lambda\colon S \to [0,\infty]$ a functional on S if it preserves the suprema of increasing sequences. The collection of all functionals on S forms a cone that we denote by $\mathrm{F}(S)$ (addition and scalar multiplication are defined pointwise).

Lemma 2.2.1. *Let S be an ordered semigroup in the category* **Cu**. *Let $\lambda\colon S \to [0,\infty]$ be additive and order preserving. Then $\tilde\lambda(s) := \sup_{s' \ll s} \lambda(s')$ is a functional on S. (We call $\tilde\lambda$ the supremum preserving regularization of λ.)*

Remark 2.2.2. The above lemma is proven in [ERS11, Lemma 4.7]. Notice, however, that the hypothesis that λ is order preserving is not included in the statement of [ERS11, Lemma 4.7], although it is tacitly assumed in the proof.

Let us now show that the pointwise order in $\mathrm{F}(S)$ coincides with the algebraic order if S is in the category **Cu** and has almost algebraic order.

Proposition 2.2.3. *Let S be an ordered semigroup satisfying the axioms* O1-O5. *Let α and β be functionals on S. Then $\alpha(s) \leqslant \beta(s)$ for all $s \in S$ if and only if there exists a functional γ such that $\alpha + \gamma = \beta$.*

Proof. Define $\gamma\colon S \to [0,\infty]$ by

$$\gamma(s) = \begin{cases} \beta(s) - \alpha(s) & \text{if } \beta(s) < \infty \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that γ is additive. Let us show that it is also order preserving. Let $s, t \in S$ be such that $s \leqslant t$. If $\beta(t) = \infty$ then $\gamma(t) = \infty$ and clearly $\gamma(s) \leqslant \gamma(t)$. Assume that $\beta(t) < \infty$. Since $\sup_{s' \ll s} \beta(s') = \beta(s) < \infty$, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $s' \ll s$ such that $\beta(s) \leqslant \beta(s') + \varepsilon$. By O5 there exists $r \in S$ such that $s' + r \leqslant t \leqslant s + r$. Then,

$$\gamma(t) = \beta(t) - \alpha(t) \geqslant \beta(s' + r) - \alpha(s + r) \geqslant \beta(s') - \alpha(s) \geqslant \gamma(s) - \varepsilon.$$

Since ε can be arbitrarily small we get that $\gamma(t) \geqslant \gamma(s)$.

We have $\alpha + \gamma = \beta$. Passing to the supremum preserving regularizations we get $\alpha + \tilde\gamma = \beta$. ☐

Remark 2.2.4. It is remarked without proof in [ERS11]–after the proof of [ERS11, Lemma 4.7]–that the above proposition is true for ordered semigroups in the category **Cu**. It is not presently clear to me whether this is the case. Observe that in the above proof we have made use of the axiom O5 (i.e., the property of almost algebraic order). Since [ERS11, Theorem 4.8] relies on this fact, the hypothesis that the ordered semigroups have almost algebraic order must be appended to the statement of [ERS11, Theorem 4.8].

For the remainder of this section S denotes an ordered semigroup satisfying the axioms O1-O5 (i.e., in the category **Cu** and with almost algebraic order).

The cone $\mathrm{F}(S)$ is endowed with the topology such that a net (λ_i) converges to λ if and only if

$$\limsup_i \lambda_i(s') \leqslant \lambda(s) \leqslant \liminf_i \lambda_i(s) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $s', s \in S$ such that $s' \ll s$. The addition and the scalar multiplication by positive real numbers are jointly continuous operations (see [ERS11, Proposition 3.6]). By [ERS11, Theorem 4.8], $\mathrm{F}(S)$ is a compact Hausdorff space. If S is the Cuntz semigroup of a C*-algebra, then $\mathrm{F}(S)$ is isomorphic, as a topological cone, to the cone of lower semicontinuous 2-quasitraces on the C*-algebra (see [ERS11, Theorem 4.4]).

Let us denote by $\mathrm{Lsc}(\mathrm{F}(S))$ the set of functions $f\colon \mathrm{F}(S) \to [0,\infty]$ that are linear and lower semicontinuous. $\mathrm{Lsc}(\mathrm{F}(S))$ is endowed with the order of pointwise comparison and the operations of pointwise addition and pointwise scalar multiplication by positive (non-zero) real numbers. Each element $s \in S$ induces a function $\hat{s} \in \mathrm{Lsc}(\mathrm{F}(S))$ defined by $\hat{s}(\lambda) = \lambda(s)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathrm{F}(S)$. The map $s \mapsto \hat{s}$ is additive and preserves sequential suprema (because functionals are additive and preserve sequential suprema) but may not preserve the relation of compact containment. However, we do have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2.5. *If $s \ll t \in S$ and $\alpha < \beta \in (0,\infty]$ then $\alpha\hat{s} \ll \beta\hat{t}$ (here the relation $\ll$ is taken in $\mathrm{Lsc}(\mathrm{F}(S))$).*

Proof. Suppose that (λ_i) is a net in $\mathrm{F}(S)$ such that $\lambda_i \to \lambda$ and $\lambda_i(s) > \frac{1}{\alpha}$ for all i. Then

$$\lambda(t) \geqslant \limsup_i \lambda_i(s) \geqslant \frac{1}{\alpha} > \frac{1}{\beta}.$$

This shows that we have the inclusion

$$\overline{\{\lambda \in \mathrm{F}(S) \mid \alpha\hat{s}(\lambda) > 1\}} \subseteq \{\lambda \mid \beta\hat{t}(\lambda) > 1\}.$$

By [ERS11, Proposition 5.1], this inclusion implies that $\alpha\hat{s} \ll \beta\hat{t}$ in $\mathrm{Lsc}(\mathrm{F}(S))$. $\square$

The following proposition gives an algebraic characterization of the comparison of elements of S by functionals (thus answering question (i) from the introduction).

Proposition 2.2.6. *Let S be an ordered semigroup that satisfies* O1-O5 *and let $s, t \in S$. Then $\hat{s} \leqslant \hat{t}$ if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $s' \ll s$ there exist $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{M}{N} > 1 - \varepsilon$ and $Ms' \leqslant Nt$.*

Proof. If $Ms' \leqslant Nt$, with $M/N > 1-\varepsilon$, then $(1-\varepsilon)\widehat{s'} \leqslant \hat{t}$. Passing to the supremum over all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $s' \ll s$ we get that $\hat{s} \leqslant \hat{t}$.

Suppose that $\hat{s} \leqslant \hat{t}$ and let $s' \ll s$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Comparing s and t on the functional $\lambda\colon S \to [0,\infty]$ such that $\lambda(x) = 0$ if $x \leqslant \infty \cdot t$ and $\lambda(x) = \infty$ otherwise, we conclude that $s \leqslant \infty \cdot t$, and so $s' \leqslant Ct$ for some finite $C > 0$. Choose $P, Q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 - \varepsilon < P/Q < 1$. Then $P\lambda(s) < Q\lambda(t)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathrm{F}(S)$ such that $\lambda(t) = 1$. Let $\alpha\colon S \to [0,\infty]$ be an ordered semigroup map such that $\alpha(t) = 1$. Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be the supremum preserving regularization of α (defined as in Lemma 2.2.1). If $\tilde{\alpha}(t) \neq 0$ then $P\alpha(s') \leqslant P\tilde{\alpha}(s) < Q\tilde{\alpha}(t) \leqslant Q\alpha(t)$. If $\tilde{\alpha}(t) = 0$ then $P\alpha(s') = 0 < Q = Q\alpha(t)$. In summary, $P\alpha(s') < Q\alpha(t)$ for any ordered semigroup map $\alpha\colon S \to [0,\infty]$ such that $\alpha(t)=1$. By [OPR11, Proposition 2.1], this implies that $(k+1)Ps' \leqslant kQt$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough. Since we can choose k such that $\frac{(k+1)P}{kQ} > 1-\varepsilon$, we are done. $\square$

3. The ordered semigroup $S_{\mathbb{R}}$

3.1. **Definition and properties of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$.** Let S be a positive ordered semigroup satisfying axioms O1-O5 (i.e., in the category **Cu** and with the almost algebraic order property). We denote by $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ the subset of $\mathrm{Lsc}(\mathrm{F}(S))$ of functions expressible as the pointwise supremum of an increasing sequence (h_n), where each h_n belongs to the $\mathbb{Q}^+$-linear span of the image of S in $\mathrm{Lsc}(\mathrm{F}(S))$. That is, $f \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ if there exist $s_i \in S$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, with $i=1,2,\dots$, such that the sequence $(\frac{\hat{s}_i}{n_i})_i$ is increasing and

$$f(\lambda)=\sup_i \frac{\hat{s}_i(\lambda)}{n_i} \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathrm{F}(S).$$

Proposition 3.1.1. *Let S be an ordered semigroup satisfying the axioms O1-O5. Then $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ also satisfies O1-O5 and $\mathrm{F}(S) \cong \mathrm{F}(S_{\mathbb{R}})$ as topological cones.*

Proof. Let $s \in S$ and let (s_i) be a rapidly increasing sequence with supremum s. By Lemma 2.2.5, we have $(1-\frac{1}{i})\hat{s}_i \ll (1-\frac{1}{i+1})\hat{s}_{i+1}$, where the relation $\ll$ is taken in $\mathrm{Lsc}(\mathrm{F}(S))$. It follows that this relation of compact containment also holds in $S_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathrm{Lsc}(\mathrm{F}(S))$. Thus, $\hat{s}$ is the supremum of a rapidly increasing sequence. This automatically holds also for $\frac{\hat{s}}{n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using a standard diagonalization argument (see the proofs of [CEI08, Theorem 1 (i)] and [ERS11, Proposition 5.1 (iii)]) we can then show that $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is closed under the suprema of increasing sequences (as a subset of $\mathrm{Lsc}(\mathrm{F}(S))$), and that every element of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the supremum of a rapidly increasing sequence in $S_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since the supremum of a sequence in $\mathrm{Lsc}(\mathrm{F}(S))$ is the pointwise supremum, it is clear that $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfies O4.

Let us show that $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfies axiom O3. Let $f_i, g_i \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$, $i=1,2$, be such that $f_i \ll g_i$. In order to prove O3, we may assume that g_1 and g_2 belong to a dense subset. Thus, we may assume that they have the form $\alpha\hat{t}$, with $t \in S$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^+$. Moreover, multiplying by a suitable integer, we reduce proving O3 to the case that $g_i = \hat{t}_i$, $i=1,2$. Let us find $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t_i' \ll t_i$, with $i=1,2$, such that $f_i \leqslant (1-\varepsilon)t_i' \ll t_i$. Then $f_1+f_2 \leqslant (1-\varepsilon)(t_1'+t_2') \ll \hat{t}_1+\hat{t}_2$. This proves O3.

We postpone the proof of O5 to Proposition 3.3.1, where a stronger version of the almost algebraic order property is obtained.

The map $\lambda \mapsto (f \mapsto f(\lambda))$, from $\mathrm{F}(S)$ to $\mathrm{F}(S_{\mathbb{R}})$ is linear and continuous. It is also bijective, since any functional on $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to the image of S in $S_{\mathbb{R}}$, and thus gives rise to a unique functional on S. Since both $\mathrm{F}(S)$ and $\mathrm{F}(S_{\mathbb{R}})$ are compact Hausdorff spaces, $\lambda \mapsto (f \mapsto f(\lambda))$ is a homeomorphism. $\square$

The ordered semigroup $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be characterized by a universal property using the property of real multiplication.

Definition 3.1.2. *We say that the ordered semigroup O has real multiplication if there exists a map $(0,\infty] \times O \mapsto O$*

$$(t,s) \mapsto t \cdot s$$

that is additive on both variables, order preserving on both variables, supremum (of sequences) preserving on both variables, and such that $1 \cdot s = s$.

$S_{\mathbb{R}}$ clearly has real multiplication. An ordered semigroup with real multiplication is unperforated by definition, i.e., $nx \leqslant ny$ implies $x \leqslant y$. Although $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is not necessarily cancellative, it has the following form of cancellation (a direct consequence of unperforation):

$$\begin{matrix} f+h \leqslant g+h \\ h \propto g \end{matrix} \Rightarrow f \leqslant g.$$

Here $h \propto g$ means that $h \leqslant ng$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following proposition implies that having real multiplication is a property rather than additional structure (thus, the scalar multiplication can be uniquely defined, if at all).

Proposition 3.1.3. *Let S and S' be a ordered semigroups satisfying* O1-O5 *and suppose that S' has real multiplication. Let $\alpha\colon S \to S'$ be an ordered semigroup map that preserves the suprema of increasing sequences. Then there exists a unique ordered semigroup map $\overline{\alpha}\colon S_{\mathbb{R}} \to S'$ that preserves the suprema of increasing sequences and such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d] & S' \\
S_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[ur, "\overline{\alpha}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Let us show the uniqueness of $\overline{\alpha}$ first. Suppose that $\overline{\alpha}_1, \overline{\alpha}_2\colon S_{\mathbb{R}} \to S'$ satisfy that $\overline{\alpha}_1(\hat{s}) = \overline{\alpha}_2(\hat{s})$ for all s. Then $\overline{\alpha}_1$ and $\overline{\alpha}_2$ also agree on elements of the form $\hat{s}/n$ and on the suprema of increasing sequences of such elements. Thus, $\overline{\alpha}_1 = \overline{\alpha}_2$.

Let $\alpha\colon S \to S'$ be given as in the statement of the proposition. Let $s_1, s_2 \in S$ be such that $\hat{s}_1 \leqslant \hat{s}_2$. Using Proposition 2.2.6, we can see that $(1-\varepsilon)\alpha(s_1') \leqslant \alpha(s_2)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $s_1' \ll s_1$. Passing to the supremum over all such ε and s_1' we obtain that $\alpha(s_1) \leqslant \alpha(s_2)$.

Let $f \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $(\hat{s}_i/n_i)$ and $(\hat{t}_i/m_i)$ be rapidly increasing sequences with supremum f. Then these sequences intertwine: for every i there exists j such that $\hat{s}_i/n_i \leqslant \hat{t}_j/m_j$ and $\hat{t}_i/m_i \leqslant \hat{s}_j/n_j$. Thus, the sequences $(\alpha(s_i)/n_i)$ and $(\alpha(t_i)/m_i)$ are also intertwined, and so they have the same supremum. We can thus define

$$\overline{\alpha}(f) := \sup_i \frac{\alpha(s_i)}{n_i}.$$

A straightforward, but tedious, analysis show that this map is additive, order preserving, and supremum preserving. ☐

Corollary 3.1.4. *Let S be an ordered semigroup satisfying* O1-O5. *Then $(S_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{R}} \cong S_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

Remark 3.1.5. The case can be made that $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is nothing but the tensor product $S \otimes [0, \infty]$ in the category of ordered semigroups that satisfy the axioms O1-O5. However, tensor products in this category remain a subject yet to be investigated. So we will not pursue this point of view here.

Let us introduce a strengthening of the compact containment relation among the elements of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $f, g \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let us write $f \lhd g$ if $f \leqslant (1-\varepsilon)g$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and f is continuous at each $\lambda \in \mathrm{F}(S)$ for which $g(\lambda)$ is finite. We will make repeated use of this relation in the coming sections. We remark that

(i) $f \lhd g \leqslant h$ implies $f \lhd h$.
(ii) $f \lhd g$ implies that $f \ll g$, where the relation $\ll$ is taken in $\mathrm{Lsc}(\mathrm{F}(S))$. This is proven in [ERS11, Proposition 5.1].

(iii) $f \lhd g$ and $f' \lhd g'$ imply $f + f' \lhd g + g'$.

Proposition 3.1.6. *For each* $f \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ *there exists a sequence* $h_1 \lhd h_2 \lhd h_3 \dots$ *in* $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ *with supremum* f.

Proof. It suffices to show that if $f' \ll f$ then there exists l such that $f' \leqslant l \lhd f$. Let us choose, recursively, elements $f_{\frac{k}{2^n}} \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ indexed by the dyadic rationals in $[0,1]$ in the following manner: $f_0 = f'$, $f_1 \ll f$, and $f_{\frac{k}{2^n}} \ll f_{\frac{k'}{2^{n'}}}$ if $\frac{k}{2^n} < \frac{k'}{2^{n'}}$. Finally, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$l_n = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} f_{\frac{k}{2^n}},$$

$$\bar{l}_n = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} f_{\frac{k}{2^n}}.$$

Then (l_n) is increasing, $(\bar{l}_n)$ is decreasing, and $f' \leqslant l_n \leqslant \bar{l}_n \leqslant f$ for all n. Let $l = \sup_n l_n$. Let us show that l is continuous at each λ where f is finite. Suppose that $f(\lambda) < \infty$ and let $\lambda_i \to \lambda$. Since l is lower semicontinuous, $l(\lambda) \leqslant \liminf_i l(\lambda_i)$. On the other hand, for every n we have

$$l_n \ll l \leqslant \bar{l}_n \leqslant l_n + \frac{f}{2^n}.$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_i l(\lambda_i) \leqslant \limsup_i l_n(\lambda_i) + \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot \limsup_i f_1(\lambda_i) \leqslant l(\lambda) + \frac{f(\lambda)}{2^n}.$$

Since n is arbitrary and $f(\lambda) < \infty$, we have $\limsup_i l(\lambda_i) \leqslant l(\lambda)$. Thus, l is continuous on λ. In order to arrange that $l \lhd f$, we first find $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f' \ll (1-\varepsilon)f$. We then find l such that $f' \leqslant l \leqslant (1-\varepsilon)f$ and l is continuous on each λ where f is finite. ☐

Lemma 3.1.7. *Let* $f \lhd g$ *and let* $(f_n)_n$ *be an increasing sequence with supremum* f *and such that* $f_n \lhd f$ *for all* n. *The for every* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there exists* N *such that* $f \leqslant f_n + \varepsilon g$ *for all* $n \geqslant N$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that f_n converges uniformly to f on the set $\{\lambda \in \mathrm{F}(S) \colon g(\lambda) \leqslant 1\}$ (by Dini's theorem). ☐

3.2. **$S_{\mathbb{R}}$ as dual of $\mathrm{F}(S)$.** In this subsection S continues to denote an ordered semigroup satisfying axioms O1-O5. Here we show how $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ may be recovered solely from the topological cone $\mathrm{F}(S)$. Indeed, $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ coincides with the ordered semigroup $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{F}(S))$ introduced in [ERS11].

By $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{F}(S))$ we denote the subset of $\mathrm{Lsc}(\mathrm{F}(S))$ of functions f expressible as the supremum of an increasing sequence (f_n), with $f_n \in \mathrm{Lsc}(\mathrm{F}(S))$ and $f_n \lhd f_{n+1}$ for all n. Proposition 3.1.6 implies that $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is contained in $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{F}(S))$. Following the same approach used to prove [ERS11, Theorem 5.7], we can show that they are in fact equal:

Theorem 3.2.1. *Let* S *be an ordered semigroup satisfying* O1-O5. *Then* $S_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{F}(S))$.

Before proving this theorem, we need some preliminary results.

Lemma 3.2.2. *Let* S *be an ordered semigroup satisfying* O1-O5. *Let* $f, g \in \mathrm{Lsc}(\mathrm{F}(S))$ *be such that* $f \lhd g$. *Then there exists* $s \in S$ *such that* $f \ll \hat{s} \ll \infty \cdot g$.

Proof. Consider the set $\{\lambda \in \mathrm{F}(S) \mid g(\lambda) = 0\}$. This set is closed under addition (whence upward directed) and under upward directed suprema (since g is lower semicontinuous). Therefore, it contains a maximum element λ_0. The set of functions $\{\hat{s} \mid \lambda_0(s) = 0\}$ is closed under addition, whence upward directed. Moreover, the pointwise supremum of these functions is equal to $\infty \cdot g$ (if $g(\gamma) \neq 0$ for some functional γ then $\gamma(s) > 0 = \lambda_0(s)$ for some $s \in S$ and so $\infty \cdot \hat{s}(\gamma) = \infty \cdot g(\gamma)$). Since $f \lhd \infty \cdot g$, the function f is compactly contained in $\infty \cdot g$, and so there exists $\hat{s'} \in \{\hat{s} \mid \lambda_0(s) = 0\}$ such that $f \ll \hat{s'} \leqslant \infty \cdot g$. Hence, there exists $s \ll s'$ such that $f \ll \hat{s} \ll 2\hat{s}' \leqslant \infty \cdot g$. This proves the lemma. $\square$

The following proposition and lemma are analogs of [ERS11, Proposition 5.5] and [ERS11, Lemma 5.6] (which are stated in the C*-algebraic context). In proving them we will follow the proofs of those results closely.

Let $I \subseteq S$ be an ideal of S, i.e., a hereditary subsemigroup closed under the supremum of increasing sequences. Let $\lambda_I \colon S \to [0, \infty]$ denote the functional such that $\lambda_I(s) = 0$ if $s \in I$ and $\lambda_I(s) = \infty$ otherwise. Finally, let $\mathrm{F}_I(S) \subseteq \mathrm{F}(S)$ be the subcone defined by

$$\mathrm{F}_I(S) := \lambda_I + \{\lambda \in \mathrm{F}(S) \mid \lambda(s) < \infty \text{ for all } s \ll s' \text{ for some } s' \in I\}. \tag{3.1}$$

Notice that $\mathrm{F}_I(S)$ is a cancellative cone: if $\lambda_1 + \lambda = \lambda_2 + \lambda$, with $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda \in \mathrm{F}_I(S)$ then $\lambda_1(s) = \lambda_2(s)$ for all s such that $s \ll s' \in I$ for some s'. Hence, $\lambda_1(s) = \lambda_2(s)$ for all $s \in I$ and so $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ (since both functionals are infinite outside I).

Proposition 3.2.3. *Let* $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{F}_I(S))$ *denote the ordered vector space of linear, real-valued, continuous functions on* $\mathrm{F}_I(S)$. *Let* $\Lambda \colon \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{F}_I(S)) \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a positive linear functional on* $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{F}_I(S))$. *Then there exists* $\lambda \in \mathrm{F}_I(S)$ *such that* $\Lambda(f) = f(\lambda)$ *for all* $f \in \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{F}_I(S))$.

Proof. We will show that the relative topology on $\mathrm{F}_I(S)$ induced by the topology of $\mathrm{F}(S)$ is the weak topology $\sigma(\mathrm{F}_I(S), \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{F}_I(S)))$. This will imply that $\mathrm{F}_I(S)$ is a weakly complete cancellative cone in the class $\mathcal{S}$ of Choquet (see [Cho69, page 194]). The proposition will then follow from [Cho69, Proposition 30.7].

It suffices to show that the relative topology on $\mathrm{F}_I(S)$ agrees with the topology of pointwise convergence on the functions

$$P_I := \{\, f \in S_{\mathbb{R}} \mid f \lhd f' \ll \hat{s} \text{ for some } f' \in S_{\mathbb{R}}, s \in I \,\}. \tag{3.2}$$

First observe that $f' \ll \hat{s}$, with $s \in I$, implies that f' is finite on $\mathrm{F}_I(S)$. Thus, if $f \lhd f' \ll \hat{s}$ then f is continuous on $\mathrm{F}_I(S)$.

Assume, on the other hand, that (λ_i) is a net in $\mathrm{F}_I(S)$ and that $f(\lambda_i) \to f(\lambda)$ for every $f \in P_I$. Let $s', s \in S$ be such that $s' \ll s$ and let us show that the inequalities (2.1) defining the topology of $\mathrm{F}(S)$ hold true. If $s \notin I$ then $\lambda_i(s) = \lambda(s) = \infty$ for all i and so the inequalities (2.1) hold trivially. Suppose that $s \in I$. Let s'' be such that $s' \ll s'' \ll s$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $(1-\varepsilon)\widehat{s''} \ll \hat{s}$, there exist $f_1, f_2 \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $(1-\varepsilon)\widehat{s''} \ll f_1 \lhd f_2 \ll \hat{s}$. Notice that $f_1 \in P_I$. So

$$(1-\varepsilon)\limsup \widehat{s'}(\lambda_i) \leqslant \limsup_i f_1(\lambda_i) = f_1(\lambda) \leqslant \widehat{s}(\lambda).$$

Passing to the supremum over all $\varepsilon > 0$ establishes one half of (2.1). Also,

$$(1-\varepsilon)\widehat{s''}(\lambda) \leqslant f_1(\lambda) = \liminf_i f_1(\lambda_i) \leqslant \liminf \widehat{s}(\lambda_i).$$

Passing to the supremum over all $s'' \ll s$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we get the other half of (2.1). $\square$

Lemma 3.2.4. *Let* $h_1, h_2, h_3 \in \mathrm{Lsc}(\mathrm{F}(S))$ *be such that* $h_1 \lhd h_2 \lhd h_3$. *Then for every* $\delta > 0$ *there is* $f \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ *such that* $f \leqslant h_3$ *and* $h_1 \leqslant \delta h_3 + f$.

Proof. Let $I := \{s \in S \mid \hat{s} \leqslant \infty \cdot h_3\}$. Observe that I is an ideal of S, i.e., it is a hereditary subsemigroup closed under the suprema of increasing sequences. Consider the compact subset $K \subseteq \mathrm{F}(S)$ defined by

$$K := \{\, \lambda \in \mathrm{F}(S) \mid h_3(\lambda) \leqslant 1 \,\} + \lambda_I.$$

Observe that K is contained in $\mathrm{F}_I(S)$. Indeed, if $\lambda \in K$ and $s \ll s' \in I$ then $\hat{s} \propto h_3$, whence $\lambda(s) < \infty$.

The function h_1 is continuous on K by hypothesis. Since $K \subseteq \mathrm{F}_I(S)$, the functions in the set P_I (as defined in (3.2)) are also continuous on K. Let us show that h_1 can be uniformly approximated on K by functions in P_I. Suppose the contrary. Then there is a real measure m on K such that $\int f\,dm = 0$ for all $f \in P_I$ and $\int h_1\,dm = 1$. Let $m = m_+ - m_-$ denote the Jordan decomposition of m. Then $\int f\,dm_+ = \int f\,dm_-$ for all $f \in P_I$ and $\int h_1\,dm_+ = \int h_1\,dm_- + 1$. Since $K \subseteq \mathrm{F}_I(S)$, we can define positive linear functionals $\Lambda_+, \Lambda_- : \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{F}_I(S) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Lambda_+(g) := \int_K g\,dm_+ \text{ and } \Lambda_-(g) := \int_K g\,dm_-.$$

By Proposition 3.2.3, Λ_+ and Λ_- are given by the evaluation on functionals λ_+ and λ_- belonging to $\mathrm{F}_I(S)$. Thus, $f(\lambda_+) = f(\lambda_-)$ for all $f \in P_I$. Every $\hat{s}$ with $s \in I$ is the supremum of an increasing sequence of elements of P_I. (To see this, find a sequence (f_n) in $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $f_n \lhd f_{n+1}$ for all n and with supremum $\hat{s}$. Then $f_n \in P_I$ for all n.) Thus, $\lambda_+(s) = \lambda_-(s)$ for all $s \in I$. Since λ_+ and λ_- are in $\mathrm{F}_I(S)$, they are both infinite outside of I. Thus, $\lambda_+ = \lambda_-$.

By Lemma 3.2.2, there exist $s, s' \in I$ such that $h_2 \leqslant \hat{s}'$, and $s' \ll s$. It follows that h_2 is finite on $\mathrm{F}_I(S)$. So h_1 is continuous on $\mathrm{F}_I(S)$. In particular, the restriction of h_1 to $\mathrm{F}_I(S)$ belongs to $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{F}_I(S))$. But $h_1(\lambda_+) = h_1(\lambda_-) + 1$. This contradicts the earlier conclusion $\lambda_+ = \lambda_-$. Therefore, the restriction of h_1 to K must belong to the norm closure of the functions in P_I. That is, for every $\delta > 0$ there exists $f \in P_I$ such that $\|h_1 - f\|_K < \delta$. Equivalently, $h_1 \leqslant f + \delta h_3$ and $f \leqslant h_1 + \delta h_3$ on K. It is easily shown that these inequalities also hold on all $\mathrm{F}(S)$. Changing f to $f/(1+\delta)$ we can arrange that $f \leqslant h_3$. ☐

Proof of Theorem 3.2.1. The inclusion $S_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{F}(S))$ follows from Proposition 3.1.6. Let us prove the opposite inclusion. Let (h_n) be a sequence in $\mathrm{Lsc}(\mathrm{F}(S))$ with supremum h and satisfying $h_n \lhd h_{n+1}$ for all n. Let $\mu_n > 0$ be such that that $h_n \leqslant (1 - \mu_n)h_{n+1}$ for all n. By Lemma 3.2.2, there exists $t \in S$ such that $h_3 \ll \hat{t} \ll \infty \cdot h_4$. Let us choose $M > 0$ such that $\hat{t} \leqslant M h_4$ and then $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta M < \mu_3$. Finally, using Lemma 3.2.4, let us find $g \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $g \leqslant h_3$ and $h_1 \leqslant \delta h_3 + g$.

Let $g_1 = g + \delta\hat{t}$. Then $g_1 \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ and

$$g_1 = g + \delta\hat{t} \leqslant (1 - \mu_3 + \delta M)h_4 \leqslant h_4.$$

Also

$$g_1 = g + \delta\hat{t} \geqslant g + \delta h_3 \geqslant h_1.$$

So $h_1 \leqslant g_1 \leqslant h_4$. In the same way we may find $g_2 \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $h_4 \leqslant g_2 \leqslant h_7$. Continuing in this way we get a sequence (g_n), with $g_n \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $h = \sup_n g_n$. Thus, $h \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$. ☐

A question left unanswered in these paragraphs is what axioms are needed on a topological cone C so that the ordered semigroup $\mathrm{L}(C)$ satisfies axioms O1-O5. Furthermore, one can ask if in such a case C is recovered by passing to the cone of functionals $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{L}(C))$.

Problem 3.2.5. Describe the category of non-cancellative cones dual to the category of ordered semigroups that satisfy axioms O1-O5 and have real multiplication.

3.3. Almost algebraic order of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Here we show that $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ has almost algebraic order (thus completing the proof of Proposition 3.1.1). We will show that, in fact, $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ has the following strengthening of the almost algebraic order property:

Proposition 3.3.1. *Let S be an ordered semigroup satisfying axioms* O1-O5. *Let $f', f, g \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ be such that $f' \ll f \leqslant g$. Then there exist $h, h' \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $f' \ll h \ll f$ and $h + h' = g$.*

This proposition is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.1.6 combined with the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3.2. *Let $f, g \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ be such that $f \lhd g' \ll g$ for some $g' \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then there exists $h \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $f + h = g$. The element h may be chosen such that $f \propto h$.*

Proof. Let (g_n) be a sequence in $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $g = \sup_n g_n$ and $g_n \lhd g_{n+1}$ for all n. We may assume that $g' \leqslant g_1$, and so $f \lhd g_1$. Let us define the functions $h_n \colon \mathrm{F}(S) \to [0, \infty]$ by

$$h_n(\lambda) := \begin{cases} g_n(\lambda) - f(\lambda) & \text{if } g_n(\lambda) < \infty \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is easily verified that h_n is linear. Let us show that it is also lower semicontinuous. Let (λ_i) be a net converging to a functional λ. Suppose that $g_n(\lambda) < \infty$. Then f is continuous at λ. So,

$$\liminf_i (g_n(\lambda_i) - f(\lambda_i)) = \liminf_i g_n(\lambda_i) - f(\lambda) \geqslant g_n(\lambda) - f(\lambda).$$

Thus, h_n is lower semicontinuous at λ. Suppose that $g_n(\lambda) = \infty$. Since $f \leqslant (1 - \varepsilon_n) g_n$ for some $\varepsilon_n > 0$, we have $g_n(\lambda_i) - f(\lambda_i) \geqslant \varepsilon_n g_n(\lambda_i)$ if $g_n(\lambda_i)$ is finite. This implies that $h_n(\lambda_i) \geqslant \varepsilon_n g_n(\lambda_i)$, whether $g_n(\lambda_i)$ is finite or not. Passing to the limit with respect to i we get that $\liminf_i h_n(\lambda_i) \geqslant \liminf_i \varepsilon_n g_n(\lambda_i) = \infty$. Thus, h_n is lower semicontinuous at λ.

Let us now show that $h_n \lhd h_{n+1}$ for all n. If $h_{n+1}(\lambda) < \infty$ then $g_{n+1}(\lambda) < \infty$, and so g_n and f are both finite and continuous at λ. It follows from the definition of h_n that it is also continuous at λ. Also, from $g_n \leqslant (1 - \varepsilon_n) g_{n+1}$ for some $\varepsilon_n > 0$ and the definition of h_n we easily deduce that $h_n \leqslant (1 - \varepsilon_n) h_{n+1}$. It follows that $h_n \lhd h_{n+1}$.

Let $h = \sup_n h_n$. Then $h \in \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{F}(S)) = S_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since $g_n = f + h_n$ for all n, we conclude that $g = f + h$. Finally, in order to arrange for $f \propto h$, find $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f \lhd g' \ll (1 - \varepsilon) g$. Find then h' such that $f + h' = (1 - \varepsilon) g$ and set $h = h' + \varepsilon g$. This concludes the proof of the lemma. ☐

4. Refinement and interpolation properties

Let S be an ordered semigroup. In this section, in addition to the axioms O1-O5, we assume that S satisfies the following axiom:

O6. If $s, t, r \in S$ are such that $s \leqslant r + t$, then for every $s' \ll s$ there exist r' and t' such that

$$s' \leqslant r' + t',$$
$$r' \leqslant r, s \text{ and } t' \leqslant t, s.$$

Notation convention. In order to state multiple inequalities more compactly, we will often use the notation $a, b, c, \ldots \leqslant x, y, z, \ldots$ to mean that every element listed on the left side is less than or equal to every element listed on the right side.

Lemma 4.0.3. *Let S be an ordered semigroup satisfying axioms* O1-O6. *Then $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ also satisfies* O1-O6.

Proof. We have already shown in Proposition 3.1.1 that $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfies O1-O5. Let $f, g, h \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ be such that $f \leqslant g + h$. In order to prove axiom O6, it suffices to verify that it holds for f, g, and h belonging to a dense subsemigroup of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$. So we may assume that they all belong to the $\mathbb{Q}^+$-linear span of the image of S in $S_{\mathbb{R}}$. Moreover, multiplying by a sufficiently large integer, we may assume that f, g and h belong to the image of S in $S_{\mathbb{R}}$. So let us suppose that $\hat{s} \leqslant \hat{r} + \hat{t}$. Let $s'' \ll s' \ll s$. By Proposition 2.2.6, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M/N > 1 - \varepsilon$ and $Ms' \leqslant Nr + Nt$. Thus, by axiom O6 applied to S there exist r' and t' such that

$$Ms'' \leqslant r' + t',$$
$$r' \leqslant Nr, Ms' \text{ and } t' \leqslant Nt, Ms'.$$

Thus, setting $\frac{\hat{r}'}{N} = g$ and $\frac{\hat{t}'}{N} = h$, we get that

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\hat{s}'' \leqslant g + h,$$
$$g \leqslant \hat{r}, \hat{s} \text{ and } h \leqslant \hat{t}, \hat{s}.$$

Since the elements of the form $(1 - \varepsilon)\hat{s}''$, with $\varepsilon > 0$ and $s'' \ll s$, are compactly contained in $\hat{s}$ and have supremum $\hat{s}$, the proof is complete. ☐

In what follows $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the realification of an ordered semigroup S that satisfies axioms O1-O6. Since $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfies the same axioms (by Proposition 3.3.1 and Lemma 4.0.3), and $(S_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{R}} \cong S_{\mathbb{R}}$, we may alternatively regard $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ as an arbitrary ordered semigroup with real multiplication and satisfying axioms O1-O6.

4.1. **Refinement.**

The following form of refinement property holds in $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ and suffices to conclude that $\mathrm{F}(S)$ is a lattice.

Theorem 4.1.1. *Let S be an ordered semigroup satisfying axioms* O1-O6. *Let $(f_i)_{i=1}^n$ and $(g_j)_{j=1}^m$ be elements of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^m g_j.$$

Let $(f_i')_{i=1}^n$ be such that $f_i' \ll f_i$ for all i. Then there exist elements h_{ij}, with $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, such that

$$f_i' \ll \sum_{j=1}^m h_{i,j} \ll f_i \text{ for all } i, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n h_{i,j} \leqslant g_j \text{ for all } j. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Notice that it suffices to prove the theorem with the inequality relation $\leqslant$ in place of the compact containment relation $\ll$ in (4.1). Once the inequalities are obtained, the compact containment is easily arranged by finding interpolating elements $f_i' \ll f_i'' \ll f_i''' \ll f_i$ and applying the theorem, with inequality relations, for the pairs $f_i'' \ll f_i'''$.

Let us first prove the theorem for $n = 1$ and $m = 2$. Let $f', f, g \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ be such that $f' \ll f \leqslant g_1 + g_2$. Let us assume that $f \propto g_2$. By Proposition 3.1.6, there exists l such that $f' \ll l \lhd f$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $l \leqslant (1-\varepsilon)f$. Since $l \lhd f$, for any $\delta > 0$ we can apply Lemma 3.1.7 to get l' such that $f' \ll l' \lhd l$ and $l \leqslant l' + \delta f$. Since $f \propto g_2$, we can choose δ small enough so that $l \leqslant l' + \varepsilon g_2$. In summary, we first find l and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f' \ll l \lhd (1-\varepsilon)f$ and then find l' such that $f' \ll l' \lhd l$ and $l \leqslant l' + \varepsilon g_2$.

By axiom O6 applied to

$$l' \ll l \leqslant (1-\varepsilon)g_1 + (1-\varepsilon)g_2,$$

there exist g_1' and g_2' such that $l' \ll g_1' + g_2'$ and

$$\begin{aligned} g_1' &\leqslant (1-\varepsilon)g_1, l, \\ g_2' &\leqslant (1-\varepsilon)g_2, l. \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose $h_1 \ll g_1'$ such that $l' \leqslant h_1 + g_2'$. Since $g_1' \leqslant l$, by Proposition 3.3.1 we may choose h_1 that is algebraically complemented in l, i.e., such that there exists h_2 such that $l = h_1 + h_2$. Then

$$h_1 + h_2 = l \leqslant l' + \varepsilon g_2 \leqslant h_1 + g_2' + \varepsilon g_2 \leqslant h_1 + g_2.$$

Since $h_1 \leqslant f \propto g_2$, we can cancel h_1 to obtain $h_2 \leqslant g_2$. This proves the case $n = 1$, $m = 2$ of the theorem under the assumption that $f \propto g_2$.

It follows by induction that if $f' \ll f \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^n g_i$, and $f \propto g_n$ then there exist $(h_i)_{i=1}^n$ such that $f' \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \leqslant f$ and $h_i \leqslant g_i$ for all i.

Let us now go back to the case $n = 1$ and $m = 2$ and remove the assumption $f \propto g_2$. Suppose again that $f' \ll f \leqslant g_1 + g_2$. Let l and $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $f' \ll l \ll (1-\varepsilon)f$. By axiom O6 there exist g_1' and g_2' such that $l \leqslant g_1' + g_2'$ and

$$\begin{aligned} g_1' &\leqslant (1-\varepsilon)g_1, (1-\varepsilon)f, \\ g_2' &\leqslant (1-\varepsilon)g_2, (1-\varepsilon)f. \end{aligned}$$

Then we trivially have $l \leqslant g_1' + g_2' + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(g_1' + g_2')$ and $l \propto \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(g_1' + g_2')$. So, there exist h_1', h_2' and h_3' such that

$$\begin{gathered} f' \leqslant h_1' + h_2' + h_3' \leqslant l, \\ h_1' \leqslant g_1',\ h_2' \leqslant g_2', \text{ and } h_3' \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(g_1' + g_2'). \end{gathered}$$

Set $h_1' + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}g_1' = h_1$ and $h_2' + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}g_2' = h_2$. Then $h_1 \leqslant g_1$, $h_2 \leqslant g_2$, and $f' \leqslant h_1 + h_2$. Also,

$$h_1 + h_2 = h_1' + h_2' + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(g_1' + g_2') \leqslant l + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(g_1' + g_2').$$

But $l \leqslant (1-\varepsilon)f$ and $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}(g_1' + g_2') \leqslant \varepsilon f$. So, $h_1 + h_2 \leqslant f$. This proves the theorem for $n = 1$ and $m = 2$.

The reader may verify that the case $n = 1$ and arbitrary m now follows by induction, building on the case that was just established.

Finally, let us consider the general case of the theorem. Let us assume that the theorem has been proved for certain n and m and then show that it is also valid for $n + 1$ and m. Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f_i \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^m g_j$ and let $f_1' \ll f_1$. Then there exist $(h_j)_{j=1}^m$ such that $f_1' \ll \sum_{j=1}^m h_j \leqslant f_1$ and $h_j \leqslant g_j$ for all j. For each j let us find $h_j' \ll h_j$ and g_j' such that $h_j' + g_j' \leqslant g_j \leqslant h_j + g_j'$ and $f_1' \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^m h_j'$. Then

$$f_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} f_i \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^m g_j \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^m h_j + \sum_{j=1}^m g_j' \leqslant f_1 + \sum_{j=1}^m g_j'.$$

Thus,

$$f_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} f_i \leqslant f_1 + \sum_{j=1}^m g_j' \tag{4.3}$$

By Lemma 3.3.2, the elements g_j' may be chosen such that $g_j \propto g_j'$. So $f_1 \propto \sum_{j=1}^m g_j'$ and we can cancel f_1 on both sides of (4.3). By induction, there exist $(h_{i,j})$, $i = 2, \dots, n+1$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, such that $f_i' \ll \sum_{j=1}^m h_{i,j} \ll f_i$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n+1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n h_{i,j} \leqslant g_j$ for all j. We now set $h_{1,j} = h_j'$. The elements $h_{i,j}$ have the desired properties. This completes the induction. ☐

Theorem 4.1.2. *Let S be an ordered semigroup satisfying axioms* O1-O6*. Then* $\mathrm{F}(S)$ *is a complete distributive lattice. Furthermore, addition is distributive with respect to $\wedge$ and $\vee$:*

$$(\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2) + \lambda_3 = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3) \vee (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3), \tag{4.4}$$

$$(\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2) + \lambda_3 = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3) \wedge (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{F}(S) \cong \mathrm{F}(S_{\mathbb{R}})$, it suffices to prove the same properties for $\mathrm{F}(S_{\mathbb{R}})$ (or alternatively, to assume that S has real multiplication). The pointwise supremum of an upward directed set of functionals is also a functional, and so the supremum of the set. Thus, in order to show that $\mathrm{F}(S_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a complete lattice, it suffices to show that any two functionals have a least upper bound.

Let λ_1 and λ_2 be in $\mathrm{F}(S_{\mathbb{R}})$. Let us define $\lambda\colon S_{\mathbb{R}} \to [0, \infty]$ by

$$\lambda(f) := \sup\{\lambda_1(f_1) + \lambda_2(f_2) \mid f_1 + f_2 \leqslant f\}. \tag{4.6}$$

That λ is sub-additive follows from general considerations. The inequality $\lambda(f) + \lambda(g) \leqslant \lambda(f+g)$ follows from the refinement property obtained in Theorem 4.1.1. Thus, λ is additive. It is clear that λ is the least upper bound of λ_1 and λ_2 among all the ordered semigroup maps from $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $[0, \infty]$. Let $\tilde{\lambda}$ denote the supremum preserving regularization of λ. That is, $\tilde{\lambda}(f) := \sup_{f' \ll f} \lambda(f')$. Then λ is a functional on $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ (see [ERS11, Lemma 4.7]) and $\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2 = \tilde{\lambda}$.

The identity (4.4) follows from the fact that $\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2$ is the lower semicontinuous regularization of the functional given by (4.6). The reader is referred to the proof of [ERS11, Theorem 3.3] for the details of this argument. Similarly, in order to prove (4.5) we need a Kantorovich-type formula for $\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2$. Consider the map $\lambda\colon S_{\mathbb{R}} \to [0, \infty]$ defined by

$$\lambda(f) := \inf\{\lambda_1(f_1) + \lambda_2(f_2) \mid f \leqslant f_1 + f_2\}.$$

That λ is sub-additive follows again from general considerations. The refinement property of Theorem 4.1.1 can then be used to show that

$$\lambda(f') + \lambda(g') \leqslant \lambda(f+g),$$

for all $f' \ll f$ and $g' \ll g$. It follows that $\tilde{\lambda}(f) := \sup_{f' \ll f} \lambda(f')$ is additive. Moreover, proceeding as in the proof of [ERS11, Lemma 4.7] we get that $\tilde{\lambda}$ is a functional on $S_{\mathbb{R}}$. If $\gamma \in \mathrm{F}(S_{\mathbb{R}})$ is such that $\gamma \leqslant \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ then clearly $\gamma \leqslant \lambda$. Since $\gamma(f) = \sup_{f' \ll f} \gamma(f')$, we also have that $\gamma \leqslant \tilde{\lambda}$. Therefore, $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2$.

Identity(4.5) can now be derived proceeding as in the proof of [ERS11, Theorem 3.3]. Finally, the identities (4.4) and (4.5) imply that $\mathrm{F}(S_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a distributive lattice (by [ERS11, Proposition 3.4]). □

4.2. **Interpolation.** Here we show that if S satisfies axioms O1-O6 and has a countable dense subset then there exists a greatest lower bound $f \wedge g$ for any two elements $f, g \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Lemma 4.2.1. *Let $f, g \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $f \propto g$. Then the set of elements $h \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $h \ll h' \leqslant f, g$ for some h', is an upward directed set.*

Proof. Let p and q be elements of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $p \ll p' \leqslant f, g$ and $q \ll q' \leqslant f, g$. Writing p' as the supremum of a rapidly increasing sequence as in Proposition 3.1.6, we can find p_1 and p_2 such that $p \ll p_1 \lhd p_2 \ll p'$. Similarly, we find q_1 and q_2 such that $q \ll q_1 \lhd q_2 \ll q$. In order to prove the lemma, it suffices to find $r_1 \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $p_1, q_1 \leqslant r_1 \leqslant f, g$, for then there exists r such that $p, q \leqslant r \ll r_1 \leqslant f, g$.

Let us prove the existence of r_1 satisfying that $p_1, q_1 \leqslant r_1 \leqslant f, g$. In what follows, the relevant properties of p_1 and q_1 are that

(i) there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $p_1, q_1 \lhd (1-\varepsilon)f, (1-\varepsilon)g$, and
(ii) p_1 and q_1 have algebraic complements in both $(1-\varepsilon)f$ and $(1-\varepsilon)g$ (this follows from Lemma 3.3.2).

Let us choose p_f, q_f, and q_g such that

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 + p_f &= q_1 + q_f = (1-\varepsilon)f, \\ q_1 + q_g &= (1-\varepsilon)g. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 + q_f = (1-\varepsilon)f &= p_1 + p_f \\ &\leqslant (1-\varepsilon)g + p_f \\ &= q_1 + q_g + p_f. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$q_1 + q_f \leqslant q_1 + q_g + p_f.$$

We can choose q_g such that $g \propto q_g$ (see Lemma 3.3.2). Thus, we can cancel q_1 in the above inequality:

$$q_f \leqslant q_g + p_f.$$

Since $q_f \lhd f$, by Lemma 3.1.7 there exists $q_f' \lhd q_f$ such that $q_f \leqslant q_f' + \varepsilon_0 f$, where $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is small enough (how small will be specified later). Axiom O6 applied to

$$q_f' \ll q_f \leqslant q_g + p_f$$

implies that there exist r' and t' such that $q_f' \leqslant r' + t'$, $r' \leqslant q_f, q_g$, and $t' \leqslant q_f, p_f$. Let us set $r_2 = r' + q_1$. Then we have $q_1 \leqslant r_2$ and $r_2 \leqslant (1-\varepsilon)f, (1-\varepsilon)g$. As for comparing to p_1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 + p_f = (1-\varepsilon)f &= q_1 + q_f \\ &\leqslant q_1 + q_f' + \varepsilon_0 f \\ &\leqslant q_1 + r' + t' + \varepsilon_0 f \\ &\leqslant r_2 + p_f + \varepsilon_0 f. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$p_1 + p_f \leqslant r_2 + p_f + \varepsilon_0 f$$

Since $p_f \propto \varepsilon_0 f$, we can cancel p_f:

$$p_1 \leqslant r_2 + \varepsilon_0 f.$$

Let us choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\varepsilon_0 \leqslant \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon_0 f \leqslant \varepsilon g$. Its existence is guaranteed by the hypothesis $f \propto g$. Then $r_1 = r_2 + \varepsilon_0 f$ has the desired properties. □

Theorem 4.2.2. *Let S be an ordered semigroup satisfying axioms* O1-O6 *and with a countable dense subset.*

(i) For each pair $f, g \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ there exists a greatest lower bound $f \wedge g$.

(ii) For any $f \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ and any increasing sequence (g_n) in $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ we have that

$$\sup_n (f \wedge g_n) = f \wedge (\sup_n g_n).$$

(iii) For all $f, g, h \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ we have that

$$f \wedge g + h = (f + h) \wedge (g + h).$$

Proof. (i) The existence of a countable dense subset in S implies that such a set exists also in $S_{\mathbb{R}}$. The intersection of a dense subset with an order ideal is dense in the ideal. Thus, every order ideal O of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ (i.e., a subset such that $f \leqslant g \in O$ implies $f \in O$) contains a countable dense subset. If O is also upward directed, then we can find a cofinal increasing sequence in O. Finally, if in addition O is closed under the suprema of increasing sequences, then O has a maximum element.

Let $f, g \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let us first establish the existence of $(\infty \cdot f) \wedge (\infty \cdot g)$. Observe that the set of elements $h \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $h \leqslant \infty \cdot f, \infty \cdot g$ is upward directed, as it is closed under addition. Since it is also an order ideal and closed under the suprema of increasing sequences, it contains a maximum element $(\infty \cdot f) \wedge (\infty \cdot g)$. (Along the same lines, one can show that $\infty \cdot S$ and $\infty \cdot S_{\mathbb{R}}$ are complete lattices.)

Next, let us prove the existence of $f \wedge g$ under the assumption that $f \propto g$. By the previous lemma, the set of elements h such that $h \ll h' \leqslant f, g$ is upward directed. Since it is also an order ideal, it contains a cofinal increasing sequence (h_n). Let $h = \sup_n h_n$. Since $h_n \leqslant f, g$ for all n, we have $h \leqslant f, g$. On the other hand, if $l \leqslant f, g$ then for every $l' \ll l$ we have $l' \leqslant h_i$ for some i, and so $l' \leqslant h$. Passing to the supremum over all such l' we get that $l \leqslant h$. This shows that $h = f \wedge g$.

Suppose now that $f \leqslant \infty \cdot g$. Let (f_n) be a rapidly increasing with supremum f. Then $f_n \propto g$ for all n and so $f_n \wedge g$ exists for all n. The sequence $(f_n \wedge g)$ is increasing. Let $h = \sup_n f_n \wedge g$. We clearly have that $h \leqslant f, g$. On the other hand, if $l \leqslant f, g$ and $l' \ll l$ then $l' \leqslant f_n$ for some n, and so $l' \leqslant f_n \wedge g \leqslant h$. Passing to the supremum over all such l' we get that $l \leqslant h$. Thus, $h = f \wedge g$.

Finally, let f and g be arbitrary elements of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$. Consider the element

$$(f \wedge (\infty f \wedge \infty g)) \wedge g.$$

This element is well defined, since the existence of each greatest lower bound has been justified previously. A simple analysis reveals that this element must be $f \wedge g$.

(ii) We clearly have $\sup_n (f \wedge g_n) \leqslant f \wedge \sup_n g_n$. Let $l \ll f \wedge \sup_n g_n$. Then $l \leqslant g_{n_0}$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $g \leqslant f \wedge g_{n_0} \leqslant \sup_n f \wedge g_n$. Passing to the supremum over all l such that $l \ll f \wedge \sup_n g_n$ we get $f \wedge \sup_n g_n \leqslant \sup_n (f \wedge g_n)$.

(iii) Let us first establish a preliminary inequality:

$$(f + g) \wedge h \leqslant f \wedge h + g \wedge h. \tag{4.7}$$

Let $l \ll (f + g) \wedge h$. Applying O6 in

$$l \ll (f + g) \wedge h \leqslant f + g$$

we find f' and g' such that

$$l \leqslant f' + g',$$
$$f' \leqslant (f+g)\wedge h, f \text{ and } g' \leqslant (f+g)\wedge h, g.$$

We have $f' \leqslant f \wedge h$ and $g' \leqslant g \wedge h$. Hence $l \leqslant f\wedge h + g\wedge h$. Passing to the supremum over all l such that $l \ll (f+g)\wedge h$ we get (4.7).

The inequality

$$f\wedge g + h \leqslant (f+h)\wedge(g+h)$$

follows trivially from first principles.

Let us prove that

$$(f+h)\wedge(g+h) \leqslant f\wedge g + h. \tag{4.8}$$

We first consider the case that $h \propto f, g$. Let $l \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ be such that $l \lhd (f+h)\wedge(g+h)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let us find $l' \lhd l$ such that $l \leqslant l' + \varepsilon f$ and $l \leqslant l' + \varepsilon g$. Such an element l' exists by Lemma 3.1.7 and the fact that $(f+h)\propto f$ and $(g+h)\propto g$. By (4.7) we have that $l \leqslant f + (h\wedge l)$ and $l \leqslant g + (h\wedge l)$. Let $h' \ll (h\wedge l)$ be such that

$$l' \leqslant f + h', g + h'.$$

By Lemma 3.3.2, we can choose h' such that it is algebraically complemented in l. Let d be such that $l = d + h'$. Then

$$d + h' = l \leqslant l' + \varepsilon f \leqslant f + h' + \varepsilon f = (1+\varepsilon)f + h'.$$

Cancelling h' we get that $d \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)f$. Similarly, we get that $d \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)g$. So $d \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)(f\wedge g)$ (here we have used that $\alpha f \wedge \alpha g = \alpha(f\wedge g)$ for $\alpha > 0$, which follows from the fact that scalar multiplication by α is an ordered semigroup isomorphism of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$). So

$$l \leqslant d + h \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)(f\wedge g) + h.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we get that $l \leqslant f\wedge g + h$. Passing to the supremum over all l such that $l \lhd (f+h)\wedge(g+h)$ we get (4.8).

Let us now drop the assumption that $h \propto f, g$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We have

$$(f+h)\wedge(g+h) = (f+\varepsilon h)\wedge(g+\varepsilon h) + (1-\varepsilon)h. \tag{4.9}$$

On the other hand, applying (4.7) twice we have

$$(f+\varepsilon h)\wedge(g+\varepsilon h) \leqslant f\wedge g + 2\varepsilon h. \tag{4.10}$$

Thus, combining (4.9) and (4.10) we get

$$(f+h)\wedge(g+h) \leqslant f\wedge g + (1+\varepsilon)h.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we are done. ☐

5. Further remarks

5.1. **The Cuntz semigroup of C*-algebras.** Given a C*-algebra A, we denote by $\mathrm{Cu}(A)$ the Cuntz semigroup of A.

Proposition 5.1.1. $\mathrm{Cu}(A)$ *satisfies the axioms* O1-O6*. If A is separable then* $\mathrm{Cu}(A)$ *contains a countable dense subset.*

Proof. [CEI08, Theorem 1] states that $\mathrm{Cu}(A)$ is an ordered semigroup satisfying axioms O1-O4 (i.e., is an object in the category **Cu**).

Rørdam and Winter show in [RW10, Lemma 7.2] that $\mathrm{Cu}(A)$ satisfies O5 (i.e., has almost agebraic order).

Let us show that $\mathrm{Cu}(A)$ satisfies O6. Suppose that $[a] \leqslant [b] + [c]$, with $a, b, c \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $bc = 0$. We must show that for every $s \ll [a]$ there exist $[b']$ and $[c']$ such that $s \leqslant [b'] + [c']$, and $[b'] \leqslant [a], [b]$, $[c'] \leqslant [a], [c]$. It suffices to show this for $s = [(a - \varepsilon)_+]$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. In this case, by [Rør92, Proposition 4.3] there exist $x \in A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $(a - \varepsilon)_+ = x^*x$ and xx^* belongs to the hereditary subalgebra generated by $(b + c - \delta)_+$. Let $g_\delta \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ be non-negative and equal to 1 on the set $(\delta, \|a\|]$. Then $g_\delta(b + c)(xx^*) = xx^*$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}[(a-\varepsilon)_+] = [xx^*] &= [g_\delta(b+c)xx^*g_\delta(b+c)] \\ &= [g_\delta(b)xx^*g_\delta(b) + g_\delta(c)xx^*g_\delta(c)] \\ &\leqslant [g_\delta(b)xx^*g_\delta(b)] + [g_\delta(c)xx^*g_\delta(c)].\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $[g_\delta(b)xx^*g_\delta(b)] \leqslant [(a - \varepsilon)_+], [b]$ and $[g_\delta(c)xx^*g_\delta(c)] \leqslant [(a - \varepsilon)_+], [c]$. Thus, setting $g_\delta(b)xx^*g_\delta(b) = b'$ and $g_\delta(c)xx^*g_\delta(c) = c'$, the desired result follows.

Finally, if A is separable then the elements $[(a - \frac{1}{n})]$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a ranging through a countable dense subset of $(A \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$, form a dense subset of $\mathrm{Cu}(A)$. ☐

Next we will show that $\mathrm{Cu}(A \otimes \mathcal{R}) \cong \mathrm{Cu}(A)_\mathbb{R}$, where $\mathcal{R}$ denotes the stably projectionless C*-algebra studied in [Jac10] (therein denoted by $\mathcal{W}$) and in [Rob11]. Notice that since $\mathcal{R}$ is nuclear, the tensor product $A \otimes \mathcal{R}$ is unambiguously defined.

We will need the following properties of $\mathcal{R}$ (see [Jac10] and [Rob11]):

(i) $\mathrm{K}_0(\mathcal{R}) = \mathrm{K}_1(\mathcal{R}) = 0$.
(ii) $\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{Q} \cong \mathcal{R}$ where $\mathcal{Q}$ denotes the UHF algebra with $K_0(\mathcal{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$.
(iii) There is an embedding $\mathcal{R} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ such that, at the level of Cu, the class of a strictly positive element $[e] \in \mathrm{Cu}(\mathcal{R})$ is mapped to the element $[e] \in \mathrm{Cu}(\mathcal{Q})$ such that $[e] < [1]$ and $\widehat{[e]} = \widehat{[1]}$.
(iv) $\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{R}$.
(v) The automorphism $\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}$ such that $a \otimes b \mapsto b \otimes a$ is approximately inner.

Let us recall the definition of a purely non-compact element of $\mathrm{Cu}(A)$. The element $[a] \in \mathrm{Cu}(A)$ is purely non-compact if its image on every quotient $\mathrm{Cu}(A/I)$ is either non-compact or strongly infinite (i.e., $2[\pi_I(a)] = [\pi_I(a)]$, with $\pi_I \colon A \to A/I$ the quotient map). Let us denote the set of these elements by $\mathrm{Cu}(A)_{\mathrm{pnc}}$. By [ERS11, Proposition 6.4 (i)], $\mathrm{Cu}(A)_{\mathrm{pnc}}$ is a subsemigroup of $\mathrm{Cu}(A)$ closed under sequential suprema. By [ERS11, Theorem 6.6], if A absorbs the Jiang-Su algebra $\mathcal{Z}$ then $[a] \mapsto \widehat{[a]}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{pnc}}(A)$ to $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{Cu}(A)))$, which we have shown in Theorem 3.2.1 coincides with $\mathrm{Cu}(A)_\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 5.1.2. *Let A be a C*-algebra. Then* $\mathrm{Cu}(A \otimes \mathcal{R})$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Cu}(A)_\mathbb{R}$.

The proof is divided in a number lemmas.

Lemma 5.1.3. *If $A \otimes \mathcal{R} \cong A$ then the map $[a] \mapsto \widehat{[a]}$ is an isomorphism from* $\mathrm{Cu}(A)$ *to* $\mathrm{Cu}(A)_\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong \mathcal{R}$, the algebra A absorbs $\mathcal{Z}$. Thus, by [ERS11, Theorem 6.6], it suffices to show that every element of $\mathrm{Cu}(A)$ is purely non-compact. Since every quotient of $A \otimes \mathcal{R}$ ($\cong A$) has the form $(A/I) \otimes \mathcal{R}$, it suffices to show that every projection p of an $\mathcal{R}$-absorbing C*-algebra is properly infinite. Let p be such a projection. Then pAp is unital and absorbs $\mathcal{Z}$ (since $\mathcal{Z}$-stability passes to hereditary subalgebras). Since $\mathrm{K}_0(pAp) = \mathrm{K}_0(\mathrm{Ideal}(p)) = \mathrm{K}_0(\mathrm{Ideal}(p) \otimes \mathcal{R}) = \{0\}$, we have $m[p] = n[p]$ for some $m < n$. But $\mathrm{Cu}(pAp)$ is almost unperforated. So $2[p] = p$, i.e., p is properly infinite. $\square$

Lemma 5.1.4. *The homomorphism $A \to A \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ given by $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$ induces an isomorphism from $\mathrm{Cu}(A)_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(A \otimes \mathcal{Q})_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

Proof. The homomorphisms $a \mapsto a \otimes 1_n$, from A to $A \otimes M_n$ induce isomorphisms at the level of $\mathrm{F}(\cdot)$ for all n. Passing to the limit with respect to n, and using that $\mathrm{F}(\cdot)$ is sequentially continuous (see [ERS11, Theorem 4.8]), we get that the map $\mathrm{F}(A \otimes \mathcal{Q}) \to \mathrm{F}(A)$ induced by $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$ is an isomorphism. The result now follows from Theorem 3.2.1. (We can alternatively use the continuity of the functor $\mathrm{Cu}(\cdot)_{\mathbb{R}}$ with respect to sequential inductive limits.) $\square$

The following lemma is of independent interest (and in particular, does not immediately follow from Theorem 5.1.2).

Lemma 5.1.5. *If $A \otimes \mathcal{Q} \cong A$ then every element in $A \otimes \mathcal{R}$ is Cuntz equivalent to an element of the form $a \otimes e$, with $e \in \mathcal{R}_+$ strictly positive.*

Proof. Let $b \in \mathcal{Q} \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{R}$ be a positive element, where A is a C*-algebra that absorbs $\mathcal{Q}$. Since $A \otimes \mathcal{R}$ absorbs $\mathcal{Q}$, b is approximately unitarily equivalent to an element of the form $1 \otimes a_1$, with $a_1 \in A \otimes \mathcal{R}$. Let us identify $\mathcal{R}$ with a subalgebra of $\mathcal{Q}$ in such a way that $[e] \in \mathrm{Cu}(\mathcal{Q})$ is the unique element such that $[e] < [1]$ and $\widehat{[e]} = \widehat{[1]}$. Then $\widehat{[1 \otimes a_1]} = \widehat{[e \otimes a_1]}$ (more generally, $\widehat{[b_1 \otimes c]} = \widehat{[b_2 \otimes c]}$ whenever $\widehat{[b_1]} = \widehat{[b_2]}$). So, $[1 \otimes a_1] = [e \otimes a_1]$ by Lemma 5.1.3. Notice that $e \otimes a_1 \in \mathcal{R} \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{R}$. Since the automorphism of $\mathcal{R} \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{R}$ that maps $x \otimes y \otimes z$ to $z \otimes y \otimes x$ is approximately inner, the element $e \otimes a_1$ is approximately unitarily equivalent to an element of the form $a \otimes e$, with $a \in \mathcal{Q} \otimes A$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5.1.2. By Lemma 5.1.4 we may assume that $A \otimes \mathcal{Q} \cong A$. Consider the map from $A \otimes \mathcal{R}$ to $A \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{R} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}$. Since every element of $\mathrm{Cu}(A \otimes \mathcal{R})$ is purely non-compact, and such elements are preserved by morphisms in the category **Cu**, $\mathrm{Cu}(A \otimes \mathcal{R})$ is mapped into $\mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{pnc}}(A \otimes \mathcal{Q})$. Let us show that it is an isomorphism into this set. Let $s_1, s_2 \in \mathrm{Cu}(A \otimes \mathcal{R})$. Assume that $s_i = [a_i \otimes e]$, with $i = 1, 2$, by Lemma 5.1.5. If $[a_1 \otimes e] = [a_2 \otimes e]$ in $\mathrm{Cu}(A \otimes \mathcal{Q})$, then

$$\widehat{[a_1 \otimes 1]} = \widehat{[a_1 \otimes e]} = \widehat{[a_2 \otimes e]} = \widehat{[a_2 \otimes 1]}.$$

By Lemma 5.1.4, we get that $\widehat{[a_1]} = \widehat{[a_2]}$, and so $\widehat{[a_1 \otimes e]} = \widehat{[a_1 \otimes e]}$ as elements of $\mathrm{Cu}(A \otimes \mathcal{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$. Thus, by Lemma 5.1.3, $[a_1 \otimes e] = [a_2 \otimes e]$ in $\mathrm{Cu}(A \otimes \mathcal{R})$. This proves injectivity.

Let us prove surjectivity. Let $s \in \mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{pnc}}(A \otimes \mathcal{Q})$. We may assume that $s = [a \otimes 1]$ for some $a \in A$. We have $\widehat{[a \otimes 1]} = \widehat{[a \otimes e]}$. But s is purely non-compact. So, $s = [a \otimes 1] = [a \otimes e]$. This proves surjectivity. $\square$

5.2. **Glimm's halving property.** Let us show that the axioms O1-O6 suffice to recover Glimm's halving property in the context of simple ordered semigroups.

Proposition 5.2.1. *Let S be an ordered semigroup satisfying axioms* O1-O6. *Suppose that S is simple (in the sense that every non-zero element is full, i.e., $\infty \cdot s = \infty$ for $s \neq 0$) and that $S \neq \{0, 1, \ldots, \infty\}$. Then for every non-zero $x \in S$ there exists $z \neq 0$ such that $2z \leqslant x$.*

Proof. Let $x \in S$ and suppose that $x_1 + x_2 \leqslant x$ for non-zero x_1 and x_2. Let us prove the existence of z such that $2z \leqslant x$. Let x_1' and x_1'' be non-zero elements and such that $x_1'' \ll x_1' \ll x_1$. Then there is a finite n such that $x_1' \leqslant nx_2$. By O6, we have $x_1'' \leqslant x_2^{(1)} + x_2^{(2)} + \dots x_2^{(n)}$, where $x_2^{(i)} \leqslant x_2, x_1$. At least one of the $x_2^{(i)}$s must be non-zero. Assume it is $x_2^{(1)}$. Then $2x_2^{(1)} \leqslant x_1 + x_2 \leqslant x$.

Suppose that there exists an element $e \in S$ such that $x_1 + x_2 \leqslant e$ implies $x_1 = 0$ or $x_2 = 0$. Let us prove that in this case $S \cong \{0, 1, \dots, \infty\}$. First observe that e is minimal among the non-zero elements. For if $e' < e$, with $e' \neq 0$, then choosing $e'' \ll e'$ non-zero we get by axiom O5 that there exists c such that $e'' + c \leqslant e \leqslant e' + c$. The element c must be non-zero (since $e' \neq e$). This contradicts the property of e. Since e is a minimal non-zero element, we must have $e \ll e$. Let $f \in S$. Then there exists n such that $e \leqslant nf$. By O6 we have $e \leqslant f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_n$, where $f_i \leqslant e, f$. At least one the f_is is non-zero. For this element we must have $e = f_i$, since e is minimal. We conclude that $e \leqslant f$, i.e. e is the minimum non-zero element. Let $f \in S$ be non-zero. Then $e \ll e \leqslant f$ and so $e + f_1 = f$ for some f_1 (by O5). If f_1 is non-zero then $e \leqslant f_1$ and so $e + f_2 = f_1$ for some f_2. Continuing this process we get that either $f = ne$ for some n or $f = \infty$. Thus, $S = \{0, e, 2e, \dots, \infty\} \cong \{0, 1, \dots, \infty\}$. ☐

An analogue of the previous proposition for ordered groups with Riesz interpolation is obtained in [Goo86, Lemma 14.5].

REFERENCES

[BH82] B. Blackadar and D. Handelman, *Dimension functions and traces on C^*-algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **45** (1982), no. 3, 297–340.

[BRT$^+$] B. Blackadar, L. Robert, A. Tikuisis, A. Toms, and W. Winter, *An algebraic approach to the radius of comparison*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

[CEI08] K. T. Coward, G. A. Elliott, and C. Ivanescu, *The Cuntz semigroup as an invariant for C^*-algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **623** (2008), 161–193.

[Cho69] G. Choquet, *Lectures on analysis. Vol. II: Representation theory*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1969.

[Cun78] J. Cuntz, *Dimension functions on simple C^*-algebras*, Math. Ann. **233** (1978), no. 2, 145–153.

[ERS11] G. A. Elliott, L. Robert, and L. Santiago, *The cone of lower semicontinuous traces on a C^*-algebra*, Amer. J. of Math. **133** (2011), no. 4, 969–1005.

[Goo86] K. R. Goodearl, *Partially ordered abelian groups with interpolation*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 20, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.

[Jac10] B. Jacelon, *A simple, self-absorbing, stably projectionless C^*-algebra* (2010), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1006.5397`.

[OPR11] E. Ortega, F. Perera, and M. Rørdam, *The Corona Factorization property, Stability, and the Cuntz semigroup of a C^*-algebra*, Int. Math. Res. Notices (to appear) (2011), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/0903.2917`.

[Rob11] L. Robert, *Remarks on $\mathcal{R}$* (2011), in preparation.

[RR11] L. Robert and M. Rørdam, *Divisibility properties for C^*-algebras* (2011), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.5523`.

[Rør92] M. Rørdam, *On the structure of simple C^*-algebras tensored with a UHF-algebra. II*, J. Funct. Anal. **107** (1992), no. 2, 255–269.

[RW10] M. Rørdam and W. Winter, *The Jiang-Su algebra revisited*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **642** (2010), 129–155.

[Tik11] A. Tikuisis, *The Cuntz semigroup of continuous functions into certain simple C^*-algebras*, Internat. J. Math. **22** (2011), no. 8, 1051–1087.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LOUISIANA AT LAFAYETTE, LAFAYETTE, USA.
E-mail address: `lrobert@louisiana.edu`